

Publication Policy and Ethics, and Publication Malpractice Statement

The Publisher follows the COPE's Best Practice Guidelines.

Policy and Ethics guidelines and Publication Malpractice Statement include but not limited by the following highlights and summarizes:

Publisher is committed to ensuring ethics and policy in publication and quality of papers. Conformance to standards of ethical behavior is therefore expected of all parties involved: Authors, Editors, Reviewers, and the Publisher. Publisher has rights to refuse the publication of any papers or the whole project if any of points of best practice ethics guidelines is not followed without future notice.

Authors: Authors should present an objective discussion of the significance of research work as well as sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the experiments. Corresponding author is fully responsible for the paper and agrees on behalf of all co-authors to the copyright agreement provided by Publisher. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable. Review papers should also be objective, comprehensive, and accurate accounts of the state of the art. The authors should ensure that their work is entirely original works, and if the work and/or words of others have been used, this has been appropriately acknowledged and permissions. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Submitting the same paper to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behavior and is unacceptable. Authors should not submit papers describing essentially the same research to more than one journal. The corresponding author should ensure that there is a full consensus of all co-authors in approving the final version of the paper and its submission for publication. Any possible conflict of interests at any point of publication process is considered as unethical and unacceptable. All authors are obliged to follow COPE's Best Practice Guidelines.

Editors: Editors should evaluate papers exclusively based on their academic merit. An editor must not use unpublished information in the editor's own research without the express written consent of the author. Editors should take reasonable responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted papers or published paper. Editors should perform appropriate peer-review of each paper by at least two independent reviewers in the field of paper. Editor should avoid any possible conflict of interest, misuse, and misconduct at any point of publication process and in case of getting know about any immediately inform Publisher. Editors are obliged to follow all requirements for the project and each paper submitted to the project. Editors are responsible for the content of the project and can accept only papers which are fully in the requirements of this policy and requirements provided by COPE. In case of refusal of publication of any papers or whole project editor is responsible for informing authors and future actions. All editors are obliged to follow COPE's Best Practice Guidelines.

Reviewers: Any paper received for review must be treated as confidential documents. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviews should be conducted objectively, and observations should be formulated clearly with supporting arguments so that authors can use them for improving the paper. Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in paper or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process. Reviewers should not consider papers in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers. Reviewers must not use any information from the papers. Reviewers must not support or perform any actions which may bring to any misconduct including citation manipulation. All reviewers are obliged to follow COPE's Best Practice Guidelines.

Papers requirements include but not limited by:

- All papers must be of high scientific quality and base on new unpublished research data.
- Corresponding author is responsible for paper preparation and all legal permissions of data used in the paper.
- Paper should be well structured, full text according to Agreement, written in acceptable English (proofread by qualified person), of international interest as well as referring latest worldwide published scientific research.
- One author can contribute to not more than two papers within one project.
- All co-authors should provide their institutional contact information (affiliation and institutional email address) in the paper according to Publisher's template.
- Papers authorship should be in accordance with the international requirements.
- Paper keywords should characterize the main object and subject of the paper.
- Paper structure should be in accordance with the Publisher's template.
- All references should be accordingly mentioned at the paper text.
- All previously published data used in the paper should have legal permission to us and be referred in the paper.
- Project and/or fund information should be mentioned in the Acknowledgement.
- References should be prepared in accordance with the Publisher's template.
- Paper should refer at least five latest published scientific research in the field.
- Plagiarism, misuse, 'salami slicing' and misconduct are not allowed in any its form.

Paper correction, withdraw and retraction policy

It is a general principle of scholarly communication that the editor is solely and independently responsible for deciding which papers submitted to the project shall be published. In making this decision the editor is guided by policies and constrained by such legal requirements in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. An outcome of this principle is the importance of the scholarly archive as a permanent, historic record of the transactions of

scholarship. Papers that have been published shall remain extant, exact, and unaltered as far as it is possible. However, very occasionally circumstances may arise where an article is published that must later be retracted. Such actions must not be undertaken lightly and can only occur under exceptional circumstances provided by COPE.

Paper correction, withdraw and retraction policy includes but not limited by:

Paper correction may be considered by editor within the time of peer-review process. At any other time, corrections individually considered by Publisher on fee base. Major corrections are not allowed at any time after peer-review is completed.

Paper Withdrawal: Only used for papers in press which represent early versions of papers and sometimes contain errors or may have been accidentally submitted twice. Occasionally, but less frequently, the papers may represent infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submission, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data or the like.

Paper Retraction: Infringements of professional ethical codes, such as multiple submission, bogus claims of authorship, plagiarism, fraudulent use of data or the like. Occasionally a retraction will be used to correct errors in submission or publication. Paper retraction is considered by Publisher under exceptional circumstances provided by COPE.

Related information:

<https://www.scientific.net/PolicyAndEthics/Ethics>

<https://www.scientific.net/PolicyAndEthics/PublishingPolicies>

<https://www.scientific.net/PolicyAndEthics/TermsAndConditions>

<https://www.scientific.net/Home/PrivacyPolicy>